UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,267	07/09/2003	Jason R. Sullivan	BSI-350US1	1701
23122 RATNERPRES	7590 02/26/200 STIA	1	EXAM	INER
P O BOX 980	CE DA 10482 0080		HO, UYEN T  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  3731	
VALLEY FOR	GE, PA 19482-0980			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 D	AVS	02/26/2007	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			E1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/616,267	SULLIVAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del>.</del>
·	(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho	3731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MON	NTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DA'	YS,
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tatute, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communic IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			,
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u> 0 November 2006</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ 7	This action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matters	s, prosecution as to the merit	s is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.	•
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 55,56,61,62,65-77 and 81-89 is/a	re pending in the application.		·
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>55,56,61,62,65-77 and 81-89</u> are	subject to restriction and/or elec	tion requirement.	
Application Papers			•
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	•	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	· -	•	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eian priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ng., p, ee. ee ş.e.e.		
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		lication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been re	ceived in this National Stage	;
application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not re	ceived.	
Attachment(s)	<u> </u>		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>		nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Pager No(s)/Mail Date		rmal Patent Application	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Terminal Disclaimer

1. The terminal disclaimer filed on 11/20/06 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

## Response to Arguments

2. After a careful reconsideration of this application, an election/restriction requirement is made as follow. Applicant's arguments filed 11/20/06 will be considered after an election is made.

## Election/Restrictions

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 55,56,61,62,65-77,81,85-88, drawn to apparatus, a stabilizer, classified in class 623, subclass 1.11.
  - II. Claims 82-84, drawn to method of manufacturing a stabilizer by severing, removing material from perform mechanically, classified in class 264, subclass 138.
  - III. Claim 89, drawn to method of manufacturing a stabilizer by injection molding, classified in class 264, subclass 129.
- 4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

Application/Control Number: 10/616,267

Art Unit: 3731

made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed, can be made by another process such as a process does not require removing a peripheral section of the ring but instead forming a partial ring or discontinuing ring around the inner core.

- 5. Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case can be made by another process such as a process require removing a peripheral section of the ring or forming a partial ring or discontinuing ring around the inner core without requiring the step of injection molding.
- 6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho whose telephone number is 571-272-4696. The examiner can normally be reached on Multiflex Monday Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, AnhTuan Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T Ho Primary Examiner

Jacapentlike

Page 5

Art Unit 3731

February 19, 2007